

| | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | | 10/736,122 | KHAYRALLAH ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | VINEETA S. PANWALKAR | 2611 |

All Participants:

(1) VINEETA S. PANWALKAR

(2) Mr. Dave Bennett (Reg. No. 32194)

Date of Interview: 15 April 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28-38 and 61-67

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Cancellation of the previously non-elected group of claims (28-38 and 81-87) was requested in order to place the application in condition for allowance. However, Mr. Bennett requested that a restriction requirement with detailed rationale be sent in writing. .